

ALIGNMENT AND THE CLASSIFICATION OF LORENTZ-SIGNATURE TENSORS.

R. MILSON

ABSTRACT. We define the notion of an aligned null direction, a Lorentz-signature analogue of the eigenvector concept that is valid for arbitrary tensor types. The set of aligned null directions is described by a system of alignment polynomials whose coefficients are derived from the components of the tensor. The algebraic properties of the alignment polynomials can be used to classify the corresponding tensors and to put them into normal form. The alignment classification paradigm is illustrated with a discussion of bivectors and of Weyl-type tensors. Note: an earlier version of this manuscript was published in the proceedings of SPT 2004. The present version has been expanded to include a discussion of complexified alignment. Section 4 also corrects errors contained in the earlier manuscript.

1. INTRODUCTION.

We consider the algebraic aspects of the equivalence and classification problems in Lorentzian geometry. Let U be a representation of the Lorentz group $\mathcal{G}$. The classification problem seeks a description of the orbit space U/G , and normal forms for the orbit representatives. The equivalence problem is the following question: given of $u, \hat{u} \in U$, does there exist a $g \in G$ such that $\hat{u} = g \cdot u$?

In 4 dimensions, tensor classification is important for physical applications, and in particular for the study of exact solutions of the Einstein equations[6]. Beyond the classical theory, there has been great interest in higher dimensional Lorentz manifolds as models for generalized field theories that incorporate gravity[9]. The problem of tensor classification in higher dimensions[3, 5] is therefore of interest.

Rank 2 tensors can be classified using eigenvalues and by considering the algebraic properties of the associated characteristic polynomial. In this paper we introduce the more general notion of an aligned null direction — a kind of Lorentzian eigenvector concept, but valid for arbitrary tensor ranks. In analogy with the characteristic polynomial, aligned null directions are the zeros of certain corresponding alignment polynomials. However, unlike the invariant, univariate characteristic polynomial, the alignment polynomials are multivariate covariants. The classification and equivalence analysis proceeds by considering the alignment polynomials' invariant algebraic properties.

Previously, alignment polynomials in 2 variables were used by Penrose and Rindler[10, Ch. 8] for the classification of maximally symmetric spinors in four dimensions. The Penrose-Rindler approach can be generalized by noting that the components of a Lorentzian tensor, of any symmetry type and in any dimension, can be naturally ordered according to boost weight. In essence, the $\mathbf{n}$ of the NP tetrad is counted with weight 1, the ℓ with weight -1 , and the space-like components with weight 0. We will call a null direction ℓ aligned with the tensor, if

the components with the largest weight vanish along that direction. In 4D, the zero set of the Penrose-Rindler polynomial is just the locus of aligned null directions.

We illustrate alignment-based classification by discussing bivectors and Weyl-type, rank 4 tensors. We provide a complete classification of bivectors in all dimensions, and give normal forms. For Weyl-type tensors, we show that the 4D PND equation is equivalent to the alignment equations and hence has meaning in higher dimensions. However, we show that for $N > 4$, generically, these equations have no solutions. For more on the classification of higher-dimensional Weyl tensors see [4]. A discussion of some more theoretical aspects of alignment can be found in [7]. We note that a similar notion of an aligned null directions, valid for tensor products of multivectors but defined in terms of tensorial contractions, was previously introduced and discussed in [11].

2. ALIGNMENT.

Let V be an N -dimensional vector space, either real or complex, equipped with a non-degenerate inner-product η_{ab} . When working over the reals, we will assume that η_{ab} has Lorentz signature. We define a *null frame* to be a basis $\ell = \mathbf{m}_0$, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{N-1}$, satisfying $\eta_{ab}\ell^a n^b = \eta_{ab}m_i^a m_i^b = 1$, with all other products vanishing. Throughout, Roman indices a, b, c, A, B, C range from 0 to $N - 1$. Lower case indices indicate an arbitrary basis, while the upper-case ones indicate a null frame. Space-like indices i, j, k also indicate a null-frame, but vary from 2 to $N - 1$ only. Thus, $\eta_{01} = \eta_{ii} = 1$ and $\eta_{AB} = 0$ for all other choices of A, B . We raise and lower indices using η_{ab} ; in particular for space-like indices we have $\mathbf{m}_i = \mathbf{m}^i$. The Einstein summation convention is observed throughout. A vector u^a will be called null, time-like, or space-like if $u^a u_a$ is, respectively, zero, negative, or positive.

We let $\mathcal{G} \subset GL(V)$ denote the group of inner-product preserving transformations; these correspond to null-frame orthogonal matrices

$$\eta_{AA'} \Phi^A_B \Phi^{A'}_{B'} = \eta_{BB'}.$$

Also corresponding to each such automorphism is a change of null-frame $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_B = \mathbf{m}_A \Phi^A_B$. Over the complex field, $\mathcal{G}$ is just the complex orthogonal group. Over the reals, $\mathcal{G}$ is the group of Lorentz transformations. As generators of $\mathcal{G}$ we make take null rotations about $\mathbf{n}$ and ℓ (1) (2), boosts (3), and spins (4):

$$\hat{\ell} = \ell + z^j \mathbf{m}_j - \frac{1}{2} z^j z_j \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{m}}_i = \mathbf{m}_i - z_i \mathbf{n}; \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n} + z^j \mathbf{m}_j - \frac{1}{2} z^j z_j \ell, \quad \hat{\ell} = \ell, \quad \hat{\mathbf{m}}_i = \mathbf{m}_i - z_i \ell; \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\ell} = \lambda \ell, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{m}}_i = \mathbf{m}_i, \quad \lambda \neq 0; \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{\ell} = \ell, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{m}}_j = \mathbf{m}_i X^i_j, \quad X^i_j X^j_k = \delta^i_k. \quad (4)$$

Let $\mathbf{T} \in V^{\otimes p}$ be a rank p tensor. For a given list of frame indices $A_1, \dots, A_p$, we call the corresponding $T_{A_1 \dots A_p}$ a *null-frame scalar*. A change of frame transforms the scalars according to

$$\hat{T}_{B_1 \dots B_p} = T_{A_1 \dots A_p} \Phi^{A_1}_{B_1} \dots \Phi^{A_p}_{B_p}, \quad \Phi^A_B \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (5)$$

In particular, a boost (3) transforms the scalars according to:

$$\hat{T}_{A_1 \dots A_p} = \lambda^{b_{A_1 \dots A_p}} T_{A_1 \dots A_p}, \quad b_{A_1 \dots A_p} = b_{A_1} + \dots + b_{A_p}, \quad (6)$$

where $b_0 = 1$, $b_i = 0$, $b_1 = -1$. We will call $b_{A_1 \dots A_p}$ the *boost weight* of the scalar $T_{A_1 \dots A_p}$. Equivalently, the boost weight of $T_{A_1 \dots A_p}$ is the difference between the number of subscripts equal to 0 and the number of subscripts equal to 1.

Let $[\mathbf{k}] = \text{span } \mathbf{k}$, $k^a k_a = 0$ be a null direction and let $\ell, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}_i$, be an arbitrary null-frame such that ℓ is a scalar multiple of $\mathbf{k}$. We define $b(\mathbf{k})$, the *boost order along $\mathbf{k}$* , to be the maximum of all $b_{A_1 \dots A_p}$ for which $T_{A_1 \dots A_p} \neq 0$. A null rotation about ℓ (2) fixes the leading terms of a tensor, while boosts (3)(6) and spins (4) subject the leading terms to an invertible transformation (5). It follows that the boost order does not depend on a choice of a particular null-frame, but rather on the choice of null direction spanned by $\mathbf{k}$. Therefore, the definition of $b(\mathbf{k})$ is sound; the boost order is the same for all null frames for which $\ell \in \text{span } \mathbf{k}$.

Finally, we let $b_{\max}$ denote the maximum value of $b(\mathbf{k})$ taken over all null vectors $\mathbf{k}$, and say that a null vector $\mathbf{k}$ is *aligned* with the tensor $\mathbf{T}$ whenever $b(\mathbf{k}) < b_{\max}$. In other words, an aligned null direction is one for which the leading boost-weight scalars vanish. The value of $b_{\max}$ depends on the rank and on the symmetry properties of the tensor $\mathbf{T}$. Generically, for a rank p tensor, $b_{\max} = p$. However, if the tensor has some index skew-symmetry, then $b_{\max}$ will be smaller than p .

Algebraically special tensors can be characterized in terms of the existence of aligned vectors, with increasing specialization indicated by a higher order of alignment. In a nutshell, one tries to normalize the form of the tensor by choosing ℓ and $\mathbf{n}$ so as to induce the vanishing of the largest possible number of leading and trailing null-frame scalars. The tensor can then be categorized by the extent to which such a normalization is possible.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a rank p tensor, and let ℓ be an aligned vector whose order of alignment is as large as possible. We define the **primary alignment type** of the tensor to be $b_{\max} - b(\ell)$. If there are no aligned directions, i.e., the alignment equations are over-determined, we will say the primary alignment type is 0.¹

Supposing that an aligned ℓ does exist, we let $\mathbf{n}$ be a null-vector of maximal alignment, but subject to the constraint $n^a l_a = 1$.

Definition 2.2. We define the **secondary alignment type** of the tensor to be $b_{\max} - b(\mathbf{n})$, and define the **alignment type** of the tensor to be the pair consisting of the primary and the secondary alignment type.

If ℓ is the unique aligned direction, i.e. if no aligned $\mathbf{n}$ exists, then we define the alignment type to be the singleton consisting of the primary alignment type. We will also speak of complex and real alignment type, according to whether our setting is a real or a complex inner-product space.

Example 2.3. For a bivector $K_{ab} = -K_{ba}$, we have $b_{\max} = 1$; the corresponding boost weights are shown below. An aligned null direction corresponds to $K_{0i} = 0$.

$$K_{ab} = \overbrace{2K_{0i} n_{[a} m^i_{b]}}^1 + \overbrace{2K_{01} n_{[a} \ell_{b]} + K_{ij} m^i_{[a} m^j_{b]}}^0 + \overbrace{2K_{1i} \ell_{[a} m^i_{b]}}^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

The following alignment types are possible for a non-zero bivector K_{ab} : type (1, 1) if it is possible to set $K_{0i} = K_{1i} = 0$; type 2 if it is possible to set $K_{0i} = K_{01} =$

¹In some cases, but not always, it is appropriate to refer to such tensors as type G, for **general type**.

$K_{ij} = 0$; type 1 if it is possible to set $K_{0i} = 0$, but not possible at the same time to set $K_{1i} = 0$; and type 0 if $K_{0i} \neq 0$ relative to every null frame.

Example 2.4. Consider a symmetric, rank 2 tensor $S_{ab} = S_{ba}$. In this case, $b_{\max} = 2$ with exactly one scalar of maximal boost weight, namely S_{00} . A null direction spanned by a null k^a is aligned if and only

$$S_{ab}k^ak^b = 0.$$

Thus, the aligned null directions are given by the zeros of the corresponding N -variate, homogeneous quadratic polynomial restricted to the null-cone.

3. ALIGNMENT POLYNOMIALS.

We now show that the set of aligned directions is a variety, the zero set of a finite number of polynomial equations. The set of all null directions is an $N - 2$ dimensional variety:

$$\mathbb{PK}^{N-2} = \{[\mathbf{k}] : k^ak_a = 2k_0k_1 + k_ik^i = 0\}.$$

Affine coordinates $z_i = k_i/k_1$ are defined for every choice of null-frame. Over the real field, we regard $[\mathbf{n}]$ as a point at infinity, and identify $\mathbb{RPK}^{N-2}$ with real extended space $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^{N-2} = \mathbb{R}^{N-2} \cup \{\infty\}$, the one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{N-2}$ homeomorphic to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-2}$. Complexified extended space $\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{N-2}$ is the union of $\mathbb{C}^{N-2}$ with points at infinity having, respectively, the form $[z^i\mathbf{m}_i + \mathbf{n}]$, and $[z^i\mathbf{m}_i]$, where $z^iz_i = 0$.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a rank p tensor and $\mathbf{m}_A$ a null-frame. For every choice of indices $A_1, \dots, A_p$ we define the polynomial

$$p_{A_1 \dots A_p}(z_i) = T_{B_1 \dots B_p} \Lambda^{B_1}_{A_1}(z_i) \cdots \Lambda^{B_p}_{A_p}(z_i), \quad \text{where} \quad (8)$$

$$\Lambda^A_B(z_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z^jz_j & 1 & -z_i \\ z^j & 0 & \delta^j_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

is the matrix corresponding to a null rotation about $\mathbf{n}$ (c.f. equation (1)) with the parameters z_i considered as indeterminates.

By definition, a null vector $\mathbf{k} = \ell - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^i\zeta_i\mathbf{n} + \zeta^i\mathbf{m}_i$, is aligned with $\mathbf{T}$ if and only if $z_i = \zeta_i$ is a solution of the corresponding *alignment equations*

$$p_{A_1 \dots A_p}(z_i) = 0, \quad b_{A_1 \dots A_p} = b_{\max}. \quad (10)$$

Henceforth, we will refer to the $p_{A_1 \dots A_p}(z_i)$, $b_{A_1 \dots A_p} = b_{\max}$ as the alignment polynomials corresponding to the tensor $\mathbf{T}$.

Of course, the alignment polynomials are only defined up to a choice of a null frame, and undergo a certain covariant transformation when the frame is changed. A $\mathcal{G}$ -transformation $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_B = \mathbf{m}_A \Phi^A_B$ induces a change of affine coordinate, a birational transformation

$$z^j = \frac{\phi^j(\hat{z}_i)}{\phi^0(\hat{z}_i)}, \quad \text{where} \quad (11)$$

$$\phi^A(\hat{z}_i) = \Phi^A_0 + \Phi^A_i \hat{z}^i - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^A_1 \hat{z}^i \hat{z}_i. \quad (12)$$

The form for the transformation (11) follows from the relation

$$\hat{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{z}^i\hat{z}_i\hat{\mathbf{n}} + \hat{z}^i\hat{\mathbf{m}}_i = \phi^0(\hat{z}_i)\ell + \phi^1(\hat{z}_i)\mathbf{n} + \phi^j(\hat{z}_i)\mathbf{m}_j. \quad (13)$$

Let us also note that a real transformation of form (11) is a conformal transformation of $\mathbb{S}^m$, and is known as a Möbius transformation[1]. In terms of the affine coordinates, null rotations about $\mathbf{n}$ correspond to translations; null rotations about ℓ to origin-fixing inversions; boosts correspond to dilations; spins correspond to rotations.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{A}} \Phi^{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{B}}$ be two null frames related by a transformation in $\mathcal{G}$. The corresponding polynomials (8) are related by*

$$\hat{p}_{A_1 \dots A_p}(\hat{z}_i) = p_{B_1 \dots B_p}(z_i) \Upsilon^{B_1}_{A_1}(\hat{z}_i) \cdots \Upsilon^{B_p}_{A_p}(\hat{z}_i), \quad \text{where,} \quad (14)$$

$$\Upsilon^{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 & \Phi^0_1 & \phi^0_{,i} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\phi^0} & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi^j_1 - \Phi^0_1 \frac{\phi^j}{\phi^0} & \phi^j_{,i} - \phi^0_{,i} \frac{\phi^j}{\phi^0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

and where $\phi^A_{,i}$ denotes the partial derivative of ϕ^A with respect to $\hat{z}_i$.

Note that $\Upsilon^{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{B}} = 0$ for $b_{\mathbf{B}} < b_{\mathbf{A}}$, and hence $\hat{p}_{A_1 \dots A_p}$ depends only on $p_{B_1 \dots B_p}$ for which $b_{B_1 \dots B_p} \geq b_{A_1 \dots A_p}$. Hence, two sets of alignment polynomials,

$$p_{B_1 \dots B_p}(z_i), \quad \hat{p}_{A_1 \dots A_p}(\hat{z}_i), \quad b_{A_1 \dots A_p} = b_{\max},$$

defined relative to different frames, are birationally related. Hence, on the open set of finite points, $\phi_0(\hat{z}_i) \neq 0$, the zeros of $p_{B_1 \dots B_p}(z_i)$ and the zeros of the transformed $\hat{p}_{A_1 \dots A_p}(\hat{z}_i)$ coincide.

4. BIVECTORS.

Let $K_{ab} \in \Lambda^2 V^*$ be a bivector (we use the inner product to identify two-forms and bivectors). Throughout, let K^a_b denote the corresponding skew-symmetric transformation of V . Let $V_0 \subset V$ denote the corresponding kernel, and $V_0^\perp$ the corresponding orthogonal complement. The skew-symmetry implies that the latter is an invariant subspace.

As per the definition (8), the alignment polynomials for a bivector are

$$p_{0j}(z_i) = K_{ab} \hat{\ell}^a \hat{m}_j^b, \quad j = 2, \dots, N-1,$$

where $\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}_j$ are defined in (1). Expanding these expressions we obtain

$$p_{0j}(z_i) = K_{0j} + z^i K_{ij} - z_j K_{01} - \frac{1}{2} z^i z_i K_{1j} - z^i z_j K_{i1}. \quad (16)$$

Thus, the aligned null directions are the solution set of a system of $N-2$ quadratic equations in $N-2$ variables.

Proposition 4.1. *A null direction spanned by a k^a is aligned with K_{ab} if and only if k^a is a null eigenvector: $K^a_b k^b = \lambda k^a$, $k^a k_a = 0$.*

Proof. Let a null vector k^a be given; extend it to a complex null-frame $\ell = \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}_i$. We note that k^a is an eigenvector if and only if $K_{ab} k^b$ is orthogonal to m_i^a , i.e., if and only if $K_{0i} = 0$ — the condition of alignment. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be an eigenvalue of K^a_b . Then, necessarily, the corresponding eigenvector is null. Furthermore, $-\lambda$ is also an eigenvalue, and the $\lambda, -\lambda$ eigenspaces are null-complementary.*

Proposition 4.3. *Over $\mathbb{C}$, the bivector alignment equations (16) admit a zero.*

Proof. If V_0 contains a null-vector, we are done. If not, the restriction of K^a_b to $V_0^\perp$ is non-singular. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is the ground field, this restriction possesses a non-zero eigenvalue. The corresponding eigenvector is null. $\square$

It follows that over $\mathbb{C}$, we may without loss of generality take $\mathbf{n}$ to be an aligned direction. Hence $K_{1j} = 0$, and the alignment equations assume a linear form:

$$p_{0j}(z_i) = K_{0j} + z^i K_{ij} - z_j K_{0i} = 0. \quad (17)$$

The above linear system (17) may or may not admit solutions. Consequently, over $\mathbb{C}$, all bivectors are either alignment type (1,1) or alignment type 1.² Respective canonical forms for these two classes are given below:

$$K_{ab} = \lambda_0 n_{[a} \ell_{b]} + \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor - 1} \lambda_p m^{2p} [a m^{2p+1} b], \quad (18)$$

$$K_{ab} = n_{[a} m^{N-1} b] + \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor (N-3)/2 \rfloor} \lambda_p m^{2p} [a m^{2p+1} b]. \quad (19)$$

Examination of (17) reveals that type (1,1) is described by the equation $\det(K_{ij} - K_{0i} \delta_{ij}) \neq 0$, and as such forms a dense open subset of the vector space of all complex bivectors. In other words, type (1,1), complex bivectors are the generic case.

Next, let us consider the classification over the reals. Let V_+, V_-, V_i denote the direct sum of, respectively, the positive, negative, and imaginary eigenspaces of K^a_b . The subspace V_i is even-dimensional, with a positive definite inner-product restriction. The subspaces, V_+, V_- are either trivial, or one-dimensional, null-complementary subspaces.

Proposition 4.4. *If N is even, the bivector equations (16) admit a real zero.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where V_+, V_- are trivial. We will show that V_0 contains a real null-vector. Suppose not. Then, $V_0^\perp = V_i$, and hence V_0 is even-dimensional with signature $(N - \dim V_i, 1)$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

If N is odd, then a skew-symmetric K_{ab} is necessarily singular. Let

$$\det(\lambda \delta^a_b - K^a_b) = \lambda^N - \sigma_1 \lambda^{N-1} + \dots + \sigma_{N-1} \lambda,$$

be the characteristic polynomial of the corresponding transformation. The coefficients $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N-1}, \sigma_N$ are invariants of the transformation K^a_b , with the determinant, $\sigma_N = 0$ as noted above. Equivalently, these are the symmetric elementary polynomials applied to the eigenvalues of K^a_b .

Proposition 4.5. *If N is odd, the bivector equations (16) admit a real zero if and only if $\sigma_{N-1} \leq 0$.*

²In 4D relativity, these correspond to type I and type N bivectors [12]. To maintain consistency with this relativity nomenclature, these classes have also been referred to as type I_i and type I , respectively[8]

Proof. Suppose that V_+, V_- are non-trivial, and hence that a null-eigenvector exists. The list of eigenvalues consists of paired complex conjugates, a positive number, a negative number, and one or more zeros. It follows that $\sigma_{N-1} \leq 0$.

Thus, it suffices to consider the cases where V_+, V_- are trivial. Now there are two possibilities: either V_0 contains a real null vector, or it doesn't. In the first case, since the inner product on V_1 is positive-definite, $\dim V_0 \geq 2$, and hence $\sigma_{N-1} = 0$. The second case can only occur if V_0 is the span of a time-like vector. In this case, no real, null eigenvector exists, and $\sigma_{N-1} > 0$. $\square$

Thus, for odd dimensions N there exist bivectors of real alignment type 0 (the complex alignment type is (1,1)). The corresponding canonical form is

$$K_{ab} = \lambda_0 \left(n_{[a} m_{b]}^{N-1} + \ell_{[a} m_{b]}^{N-1} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{(N-3)/2} \lambda_p m^{2p}{}_{[a} m^{2p+1}{}_{b]}. \quad (20)$$

5. WEYL-TYPE TENSORS.

We define a *Weyl-like tensor* C_{abcd} to be a traceless, valence 4 tensor with the well-known index symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor:

$$C_{abcd} = -C_{bacd} = C_{cdab}, \quad C_{abcd} + C_{acdb} + C_{adbc} = 0, \quad C_{abc}{}^b = 0.$$

We let $\mathcal{W}_N$ denote the vector space of N -dimensional Weyl-like tensors. It isn't hard to show that $\mathcal{W}_N$ has dimension $\frac{1}{12}(N+2)(N+1)N(N-3)$.

TABLE 1. Boost weight of the Weyl scalars.

2	1	0	-1	-2
C_{0i0j}	C_{010i}, C_{0ijk}	$C_{0101}, C_{01ij}, C_{0i1j}, C_{ijkl}$	C_{011i}, C_{1ijk}	C_{1i1j}

The maximal boost weight for a Weyl tensor is given by $b_{\max} = 2$. The Weyl alignment polynomials are given by

$$p_{0i0j}(z_i) = C_{abcd} \hat{\ell}^a \hat{m}_i^b \hat{\ell}^b \hat{m}_j^d, \quad (21)$$

where $\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}_i$ are defined in (1). Since $p_{0i0}{}^i = 0$, the aligned directions are the solution set of a system of $\frac{1}{2}N(N-3) = \frac{1}{2}(N-2)(N-1) - 1$, fourth order equations in $N-2$ variables.

In 4D, the principal null directions of the Weyl-like tensor are defined in terms of the so-called PND equation[10]:

$$k^b k_{[e} C_{a]bc[d} k_{f]} k^c = 0, \quad k^a k_a = 0. \quad (22)$$

It is easy to establish that the PND equations are just the homogeneous form of the alignment equations[7].

Proposition 5.1. *For every dimension N , a null vector k^a satisfies the PND equation (22) if and only if it is aligned with C_{abcd} .*

For $N \geq 4$ we have $\frac{1}{2}N(N-3) \geq N-2$, with equality if and only if $N = 4$. Thus, a four-dimensional Weyl-like tensor always possesses at least one aligned direction (see below). For $N > 4$, the number of equations is greater than the number of variables, and hence, generically, the alignment equations are inconsistent.

Theorem 5.2. *If $N \geq 5$, then the subset of complex, Weyl-type tensors without aligned null directions is a dense, open subset of $\mathcal{W}_n$.*

In other words, the generic Weyl-like tensor in higher dimensions does not possess any aligned null directions, not even complex aligned null directions.

Let us now re-derive the well-known Petrov-Penrose classification of real, 4-dimensional Weyl-like tensors using alignment. To facilitate the calculations we switch to the complexified, NP tetrad: $\ell = \mathbf{m}_0$, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m}_1 \mathbf{m}_2$, $\mathbf{m}_{2'} = \overline{\mathbf{m}}_2$, with $\ell^a n_a = 1$, $m_2^a m_{2'a} = -1$. A null rotation about $\mathbf{n}$ now takes the form

$$\hat{\ell} = \ell + z' \mathbf{m}_2 + z \mathbf{m}_{2'} + z z' \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{m}}_2 = \mathbf{m}_2 + z \mathbf{n}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{2'} = \mathbf{m}_{2'} + z' \mathbf{n},$$

where $z' = \bar{z}$ for a real transformation. Since C_{abcd} is trace-free, equation (21) gives that $p_{0202'} = 0$. We also note that $\partial \hat{\ell} / \partial z' = \hat{\mathbf{m}}_2$, and $\partial \hat{\mathbf{m}}_2 / \partial z' = 0$. It follows immediately that $\partial p_{0202} / \partial z' = 0$. Hence,

$$p_{0202}(z, z') = p_{0202}(z) = C_{0202}(z - \zeta_1)(z - \zeta_2)(z - \zeta_3)(z - \zeta_4)$$

is a fourth degree polynomial of one complex variable. Furthermore, $p_{02'02'}$ is the complex conjugate of p_{0202} , and we deduce that, generically, there are 16 complex aligned null directions: $z = \zeta_p$, $z' = \bar{\zeta}_q$, with $p, q = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The real aligned null directions correspond to $z' = \bar{z}$.

We also see that a real C_{abcd} is completely determined by the polynomial $p_{0202}(z)$, and that a change of null-frame transforms the latter by a Möbius transformation. The usual classification of the 4-dimensional Weyl tensor now follows by considering the root multiplicities of this polynomial. We have derived all this directly by means of the alignment paradigm; there was no need to invoke spinors.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author was partially supported by an NSERC grant. Discussions with A. Coley, N. Pelavas, V. Pravda, A. Pravdová, J. Senovilla are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. Beardon, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups* Springer-Verlag, (1983).
- [2] M. Carmeli, *Group theory and general relativity*. McGraw Hill, (1977).
- [3] A. Coley, R. Milson, N. Pelavas, V. Pravda, A. Pravdová, and R. Zalaletdinov, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** 104020, (2003).
- [4] A. Coley, R. Milson, V. Pravda, and A. Pravdová, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** L35, (2004).
- [5] P. DeSmet, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** 4877–4895, (2002).
- [6] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations* Cambridge University Press, (2003).
- [7] R. Milson, A. Coley, V. Pravda, and A. Pravdová, *gr-qc/0401010*.
- [8] A. Coley, R. Milson, V. Pravda, and A. Pravdová, *gr-qc/0410070*.
- [9] J. M. Overduin and P. S. Wesson, *Phys. Rep.* **283** 303–378, (1997).
- [10] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-time, vol. II*. Cambridge University Press, (1986).
- [11] J. M. Pozo and J. M. Parra, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** 967–983, (2002).
- [12] J. Stewart, *Advanced general relativity*, Cambridge University Press, (1990).

DEPT. MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA B3H 3J5, CANADA, E-MAIL: RMILSON@DAL.CA